# **TRUSTABLE VM SCHEDULING** IN A CLOUD

Fabien Hermenier Ludovic Henrio







The scheduler deploys VM to servers according to SLOs which state through constraints the awaited performance, availability, placement requirements, etc. A scheduler that behaves as expected leads to low running costs and higher user confidence.





VM schedulers have defects Peer review, unit & smoke testing do not counteract reasoning issues.



Issue trackers report un-anticipated state transitions or event ordering and partial logic understanding.



### Causes & consequences

over-filtering deny solutions and reduce the hosting capacity.

crashes introduce delay and reduce user

confidence.

under-filtering lead to decisions that violates constraints and reduce user confidence.

SAFEPLACE **DSL & fuzz testing** to report

# **Constraint specification**

- state acceptable (re)configurations
- augmented first order logic
- business functions in native code
- temporal call to reason on the history
- integration through code annotation

```
RunningCapacity(ns <: nodes, nb : int) ::=</pre>
sum({card(running(n)). n : ns}) <= nb</pre>
```

```
MaxOnline(ns <: nodes, nb : int)::=</pre>
card({i. i:ns , nodeState(i)=online}) <= nb</pre>
```

```
Among(vs <: vms, parts <<: nodes) ::=
?(g : parts)
  {host(i). i : vs, vmState(i) = running} <: g
```

```
ShareableResource(id : string) ::=
!(n : nodes)
  sum([cons(v, id). v : host(n)]) <= capa(n, id)</pre>
```

#### **Implementation checker** inconsistencies

- fuzzed test cases to avoid bias
- simulator + spec as an oracle
- implementation vs. oracle to report inconsistencies

@CstrTest() public void testMaxOnline(TestCampaign c) { // The constraint c.check("maxOnline");

// Fuzzer configuration c.vms(10).srcVMs(1, 9, 0).with("nb", 0, 7);

// Scheduler configuration c.schedulerParams().doRepair(true);

// Test configuration c.limits().tests(10000).failures(1);

### Usability

#### BtrPlace constraints specified. Suitable for OpenStack & VMWare DRS.





## **Debugging BtrPlace**

DEFECT CAUSE	CONSTRAINTS	TESTS	CONSEQUENCE	CONSTRAINTS	TESTS
nitial violation in continuous mode	7	704	Under-filtering	10	938
Jnexpected arguments	4	642	Crashes	3	459
Discrete filtering in continuous mode	3	45	Over-filtering	6	244
Jnsupported action synchronisation	4	20			
Bad action semantic comprehension	1	16			
Jnconsidered initial element state	1	4			





Read more in the paper. Check out BtrPlace website. Contact: fabien.hermenier@nutanix.com

